This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (original): A method for producing three-dimensional information of an object (4) in medical X-ray imaging, characterized in that

- the object is X-radiated from at least two different directions and the said X-radiation is detected to form projection data of the object (4)
- the object is modelled mathematically utilizing the projection data to solve the imaging geometry and/or the motion of the object, where the solving concerns either some or all parts of the imaging geometry and/or the motion of the object.
- and said projection data and said mathematical modelling of the object are utilized in Bayesian inversion based on Bayes' formula

$$p(x,\theta \mid m) = \frac{p_{pr}(\theta)p_{pr}(x)p(m \mid x,\theta)}{p(m)}$$

to produce three-dimensional information of the object, the prior distribution $ppr(\theta)$ representing the prior knowledge of the imaging geometry and/or the motion of the object (4), the prior distribution ppr(x) representing mathematical modelling of the object, x representing the object image vector, which comprises values of the X-ray attenuation coefficient inside the object, θ representing the parameter vector of the imaging geometry and/or the motion of the object (4), m representing projection data, the likelihood distribution $p(m|x,\theta)$ representing the X-radiation attenuation model between the object image vector x, geometry parameter vector θ , and projection data m, p(m) being a normalization constant and the posteriori distribution $p(x,\theta|m)$ representing the three-dimensional information of the object (4) and the imaging geometry including the motion of the object.

Appln. No. 10/813,563

Preliminary Amendment dated August 12, 2004

Reply to Notice to File Missing Parts of June 15, 2004

Claim 2 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the three-dimensional information of the object (4) is one or more two-dimensional images representing X-ray attenuation coefficient along slices through the object.

Claim 3 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the three-dimensional information of the object (4) is a three-dimensional voxel representation of the X-ray attenuation in the object.

Claim 4 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the measurement model is $m = A\theta x + e$, where matrix $A\theta$ contains the weights describing how much each voxel contributes to the X-ray attenuation along the X-ray paths and the noise e is independent of object image vector x and the geometry parameter vector θ leading to the likelihood distribution

$$p(m \mid x, \theta) = p_{noise}(m - A_{\theta}x)$$
.

Claim 5 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the said mathematical modelling comprises that X-radiation attenuates when passing the object (4), which means that every image voxel is nonnegative.

Claim 6 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that mathematical modelling is expressed by the formula:

$$p_{pr}(x) = \exp(-\alpha \sum_{N} U_{N}(x))$$

where the sum is taken over a collection of 3D neighbourhoods N and the value $U_N(x)$ depends only on the values of voxels belonging to the neighborhood N, and α is a positive regularization parameter used to tune the width of the prior distribution.

Claim 7 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the 3D tomographic problem is divided into a stack of 2D tomographic problems and on every such 2D problem, the mathematical modelling is expressed by the formula:

$$p_{pr}(x) = \exp(-\alpha \sum_{N} U_{N}(x))$$

where the sum is taken over a collection of 2D neighbourhoods N and the value $U_N(x)$ depends only on the values of pixels belonging to the neighborhood N, and α is a positive regularization parameter used to tune the width of the prior distribution, and the 2D tomographic problems are related to each other by the formula

$$pr3D(x(j)) = exp(-\gamma \sum |x(j)[k,q] - x(j-1)[k,q]|),$$

where the sums are taken over all pixels (k=1,...,K, q=1,...,Q) and $\gamma > 0$ is another regularization parameter.

Claim 8 (original): A method according to claim 7, characterized in that the neighborhoods consist of two adjacent pixels and U calculates a power of the absolute value of the difference, leading to the formula

$$P_{pr}(x^{(j)}) = \exp\left(-\alpha \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left| x^{(j)}[k,q] - x^{(j)}[k+1,q]^{8} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{q=1}^{Q-1} \left| x^{(j)}[k,q] - x^{(j)}[k,q+1]^{8}\right)\right)\right)$$

where s is a positive real number.

Claim 9 (original): A method according to claim 8, characterized in that s=1 corresponding to total variation (TV) prior describing objects (4) consisting of different regions with well-defined boundaries.

Claim 10 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that mathematical modelling is qualitative structural information of the target where the structural information

Appln. No. 10/813,563

Preliminary Amendment dated August 12, 2004

Reply to Notice to File Missing Parts of June 15, 2004

is encoded in prior distributions that are concentrated around object image vectors x that correspond to the physiological structures of the object (4).

Claim 11 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that mathematical modelling consists of a list or probability distribution of possible attenuation coefficient values in the object (4).

Claim 12 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the X-ray imaging geometry, such as X-ray source position, has unknown error modelled in the distribution $p(m|x,\theta)$.

Claim 13 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the X-radiation measurement noise is Poisson distributed.

Claim 14 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the medical X-ray imaging is dental radiography.

Claim 15 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the medical X-ray imaging is surgical C-arm imaging.

Claim 16 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that the medical X-ray imaging is mammography.

Claim 17 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that three-dimensional information of the object (4) is produced on the basis of the maximum a posteriori estimator (MAP) which is calculated by the equation:

$$p(y_{MAP} \mid m) = \max p(y \mid m)$$

m representing projection data and $y=(x,\theta)$ representing the vector composed of the object image vector and the geometry parameter vector and where the maximum on the right hand side of the equation is taken over all y.

Claim 18 (original): A method according to claim 1, characterized in that three-dimensional information of the object (4) is produced on the basis of the conditional mean estimator (CM), which is calculated by the equation:

$$y_{\rm CM} = \int \! y p(y \mid m) dy$$

where m represents projection data and $y=(x,\theta)$ represents the vector composed of the object image vector and the geometry parameter vector.

Claim 19 (currently amended): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement for producing three-dimensional information of an object (4) in a medical X-ray imaging, characterized in that the medical X-ray device (5) arrangement comprises:

- an X-ray source (2) for X-radiating the object from at least two different directions
- a detector (6) for detecting the X-radiation to form projection data of the object (4)
- means (15) for modelling the object (4) mathematically utilizing the projection data to solve the imaging geometry and/or the motion of the object, where the solving concerns either some or all parts of the imaging geometry and/or the motion of the object.
- and the medical X-ray device (5) arrangement <u>includes comprises</u> means (15) for utilizing said projection data and said mathematical modelling of the object in Bayesian inversion based on Bayes' formula

$$p(x,\theta \mid m) = \frac{p_{pr}(\theta)p_{pr}(x)p(m \mid x,\theta)}{p(m)}$$

to produce three-dimensional information of the object, the prior distribution $p_{pr}(\theta)$ representing the prior knowledge of the imaging geometry and/or the motion of the object (4), the prior distribution $p_{pr}(x)$ representing mathematical modelling of the object, x representing the object image vector, which comprises values of the X-ray attenuation coefficient inside the object, θ representing the parameter vector of the imaging geometry and/or the motion of the object (4), m representing projection data, the likelihood distribution $p(m|x,\theta)$ representing the X-radiation attenuation model between the object image vector x, geometry parameter vector θ , and projection data x, y, y being a normalization constant and the posteriori distribution $y(x,\theta|m)$ representing the three-dimensional information of the object (4) and the imaging geometry including the motion of the object.

Claim 20 (original): A medical x-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the three-dimensional information of the object (4) is one or more two-dimensional images representing X-ray attenuation coefficient along slices through the object.

Claim 21 (original): A medical x-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the three-dimensional information of the object (4) is a three-dimensional voxel representation of the X-ray attenuation in the object.

Claim 22 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for modelling the measurement as

$$m = A_{\theta}x + e$$
,

where matrix A_{θ} contains the weights describing how much each voxel contributes to the X-ray attenuation along the X-ray paths and the noise e is independent of object image vector x and the geometry parameter vector θ leading to the likelihood distribution

$$p(m \mid x, \theta) = p_{noise}(m - A_{\theta}x).$$

Claim 23 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19 characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for modelling the object (4) mathematically so that X-radiation attenuates when passing the object (4), which means that every image voxel is nonnegative.

Claim 24 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for modelling the object (4) mathematically by the formula:

$$p_{pr}(x) = \exp(-\alpha \sum_{N} U_{N}(x))$$

where the sum is taken over a collection of 3D neighbourhoods N and the value $U_N(x)$ depends only on the values of voxels belonging to the neighborhood N, and α is a positive regularization parameter used to tune the width of the prior distribution.

Claim 25 (original): A medical x-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the 3D tomographic problem is divided into a stack of 2D tomographic problems and on every such 2D problem, and the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for modelling the object (4) mathematically by the formula:

$$p_{pr}(x) = \exp(-\alpha \sum_{N} U_{N}(x))$$

where the sum is taken over a collection of 2D neighbourhoods N and the value $U_N(x)$ depends only on the values of pixels belonging to the neighborhood N, and α is a positive regularization parameter used to tune the width of the prior distribution, and the 2D tomographic problems are related to each other by the formula

$$pr3D(x(j)) = exp(-\gamma \sum |x(j)[k,q] - x(j-1)[k,q]|),$$

where the sums are taken over all pixels (k=1,...,K, q=1,...,Q) and $\gamma > 0$ is another regularization parameter.

Claim 26 (currently amended): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 25, characterized in that the neighborhood systems comprise consist of two neighboring pixels xj, xk or voxels xj, xk and $U_N(x)$ calculates a power of the

$$P_{pr}(x^{(j)}) = \exp\left(-\alpha(\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} |x^{(j)}[k,q] - x^{(j)}[k+1,q]^{8} + + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{q=1}^{Q-1} |x^{(j)}[k,q] - x^{(j)}[k,q+1]^{8})\right)$$

absolute value of the difference, leading to the formula where s is a positive real number and α is a regularization parameter used to tune the width of the prior distribution.

Claim 27 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 26, characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for modelling the object (4) mathematically by setting s=1 corresponding to total variation (TV) prior describing objects consisting of different regions with well-defined boundaries.

Claim 28 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for modelling the object (4) mathematically by assuming that mathematical modelling is qualitative structural information of the target where the structural information is encoded in prior distributions that are concentrated around image vectors x that correspond to the physiological structures of the target.

Claim 29 (currently amended): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for modelling the object (4) mathematically by assuming that mathematical modelling comprises consists of a list of possible attenuation coefficient values in the object.

Claim 30 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for modelling the object (4) mathematically by assuming that the X-ray imaging geometry, such as X-ray source position, has unknown error modelled in the distribution $p(m|x,\theta)$.

Claim 31 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for modelling the object (4) mathematically by assuming that X-radiation measurement noise is Poisson distributed.

Claim 32 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray imaging is dental radiography.

Claim 33 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray imaging is surgical C-arm imaging.

Claim 34 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray imaging is mammography.

Claim 35 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for producing three-dimensional information of the object (4) on the basis of the maximum a posteriori estimator (MAP), which is calculated by the equation:

$$p(y_{MAP} \mid m) = \max p(y \mid m)$$

m representing projection data and $y=(x,\theta)$ representing the vector composed of the object image vector and the geometry parameter vector, and where the maximum on the right hand side of the equation is taken over all y.

Claim 36 (original): A medical X-ray device (5) arrangement according to claim 19, characterized in that the medical X-ray device arrangement comprises means (15) for producing three-dimensional information of the object (4) on the basis of the conditional mean estimator (CM), which is calculated by the equation

$$y_{\rm CM} = \int \! y p(y \mid m) dy$$

where m represents projection data and $y=(x,\theta)$ represents the vector composed of the object image vector and the geometry parameter vector.